

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, OCTOBER 18, 1999  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

1st Dist. Ford Motor Company, Petitioner  
A088597 v.  
Div. 2 Contra Costa County Superior Court, Respondent  
S082899 Manpreet Kaler, Real Party in Interest  
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

3rd Dist. People, Respondent  
C026441 v.  
S081280 Earl David Drake, Appellant  
The time for granting or denying review in the above cause is hereby extended to and including November 5, 1999, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. This order is entered nunc pro tunc as of October 8, 1999, due to clerical error.

S007531 People, Respondent  
v.  
Kevin Bernard Haley, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 31, 1999.

S012279 People, Respondent  
v.  
David Allan Lucas, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 6, 1999.

S016730 People, Respondent  
v.  
Raymond Edward Steele, Appellant  
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 17, 1999.

S024599 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Lamont Jones, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including December 13, 1999.

S028747 People, Respondent

v.

Rodney Jesse San Nicolas, Appellant

Appellant's request for extension of time to file appellant's opening brief is DENIED.

S034704 People, Respondent

v.

Charles Stevens, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including December 8, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S034725 In re Andre Burton

On

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's traverse to the return to the order to show cause is extended to and including December 17, 1999.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S044834 People, Respondent

v.

Raymond F. Johns, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including December 13, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S049389 People, Respondent

v.

Thomas Howard Lenart, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including December 14, 1999, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S060624 In re Oscar Gates

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including October 20, 1999.

S077785 People, Respondent

v.

Jose Rizo et al., Appellants

On application of appellant Jose Rizo and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including November 1, 1999.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S020803 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Bert Stewart, Appellant

Respondent's application for leave to file respondent's brief in excess of 280 pages is granted.

S011206 People, Respondent

v.

George Hatton Smithey, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter, the court filed its decision on July 1, 1999, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The size of the record (the clerk's and reporter's transcripts totaling approximately 11,800 pages).
2. The necessity to grant appellant and respondent, for good cause,

numerous extensions of time to file appellant's opening brief (filed October 18, 1996), respondent's brief (filed August 14, 1997), and appellant's reply brief (filed March 3, 1998).

3. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, leave to file a supplemental opening brief (filed December 11, 1998), and the subsequent filing of respondent's supplemental brief on February 25, 1999, and appellant's supplemental reply brief on March 16, 1999.

4. The number and complexity of the issues raised (6 briefs totaling 656 pages, raising approximately 29 separate issues).

S081555      In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on September 10, 1999, effective September 27, 1999, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the names of **Dana Senit Henry** and **Margaret Ann Nagle**.

S081206      In re **Danielle Farrens Wong** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Danielle Farrens Wong**, State Bar No. 140944, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years on condition that she be actually suspended for one year and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until at the time of the hearing pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), she provides clear and convincing proof of rehabilitation from alcohol/drug addiction. She is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed July 9, 1999. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year of the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) She is further ordered that to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order

is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S081297 In re **Andre Keith Silvola** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Andre Keith Silvola**, State Bar No. 109154, be suspended from the practice of law for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for one year and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed June 28, 1999. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7.

S082721 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Douglas Allen Long**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Douglas Allen Long**, #55856 as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S082722 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Randall L. Marr**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Randall L. Marr**, #153256 as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule

within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\*  
Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S082723      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Carolyn Ann Harrington**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Carolyn Ann Harrington, #83566** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against her should she hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that she comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S082749      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Gary L. Munson**  
A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of **Gary L. Munson, #139480** as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)



Filename: soct1899.doc  
Directory: M:\3MINUTES\SC  
Template: \\SCFS2\SYS\USERS\BARIA\TEMPLATE\MINUTE

S.DOT

Title:  
Subject:  
Author: Supreme Court User  
Keywords:  
Comments:  
Creation Date: 10/25/99 7:36 AM  
Change Number: 2  
Last Saved On: 10/25/99 7:36 AM  
Last Saved By: Supreme Court User  
Total Editing Time: 0 Minutes  
Last Printed On: 10/25/99 7:36 AM  
As of Last Complete Printing  
Number of Pages: 7  
Number of Words: 1,515 (approx.)  
Number of Characters: 8,639 (approx.)